## For the Northern District of California

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEMETRIUS CONWELL,

Petitioner,

V.

JEANNE WOODFORD, et al.,

Respondents

No. C 05-2930 MMC (PR)

ORDER AFFORDING PETITIONER
LEAVE TO FILE REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY

On September 27, 2007, the Clerk entered judgment on the Court's September 25, 2007 order denying petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus. On September 28, 2007, petitioner filed a notice of appeal.

An appeal from an order denying a petition for a writ of habeas corpus "may not be taken" unless the Court of Appeal or the district court issues a "certificate of appealability." See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c). "If an applicant [for a writ of habeas corpus] files a notice of appeal, the district judge who rendered the judgment must either issue a certificate of appealability or state why a certificate should not issue." See Fed. R. App. Proc. 22(b)(1). A district court shall grant a certificate of appealability "only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). An applicant makes such a showing by "demonstrat[ing] that reasonable jurists would find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong." See Miller-El v.

Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 338 (2003) (internal quotation and citation omitted).

Here, petitioner has not requested issuance of a certificate of appealability, either within his notice of appeal or otherwise.

Accordingly, the Court hereby affords petitioner leave to file, no later than November 2, 2007, a request for issuance of a certificate of appealability. In any such request, petitioner shall identify the specific issue or issues for which he seeks a certificate of appealability, and state why, as to each such issue, a certificate of appeability is appropriate. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(b)(3) (providing certificate of appealability "shall indicate the specific issue or issues" as to which petitioner has made the requisite showing).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 3, 2007

MAXINE M. CHESNEY United States District Judge